IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1 Fax

Michael Pontone, et al.

Serial No.:

10/626,417

Filed:

July 23, 2003

Examiner J. J. Swann, Group 3677

ht application:

Attorney Docket: 1046-010P

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed December 9, 2004, the applicant requests that the restriction requirement be reconsidered.

The stated basis for the restriction requirement was that the product claims can be made by another and materially different process than that recited in the claimed method, such as by welding. However, the sole independent product claim 12 has been amended (by an amendment submitted simultaneously herewith) to recite "said integral flange portion being crimped to and conforming to said concave inner surface of said hole." Therefore, the inventions of Group I (claims 1-11) and Group II (claims 12-16) are not distinct.



In the event that the restriction requirement is not removed, the applicant provisionally elects the claims of Group II (claims 12-16).

Respectfully submitted,

Fritz L. Søhweitzer III

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 39,363

Customer No. 022831

Schweitzer Cornman Gross & Bondell LLP 292 Madison Avenue – 19th Floor New York NY 10017

Tel: 646-424-0770 Fax: 646-424-0880

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Date: December 28,72004

IT MELLAGORE MALLALLE

Gerri De Luca Rosemanze Mannina